FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET.
IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION.

CRIMINAL APPLICATION No.1912 of 2007.

Office Notes,Office Memorandum of Coram apperance,Court's Orders & Directions and Registrar's Order.	: Court or Judge's Order.

Mr K. R. Nimde, Advocate for the Applicant.

Mr U. V. Nikam APP for the Respondent Nos. 1 and 2.

Mr G. D. Talreja, Advocate for the Respondent No.3.

CORAM: V.C. DAGA,J.

DATED: 12th JULY, 2007.

1. Heard rival parties.

2. Perused application.

3. In view of the order dated 6.6.2007 passed by the Principal Judge, Greater Bombay granting anticipatory bail for a limited period with certain conditions, the present application is not maintainable. The High Court and Sessions Court have a concurrent jurisdiction. Once the jurisdiction of one

court is invokved and order of bail is obtained then no second application to claim little larger relief on the same lines can be said to be tenable. If the accused is not satisfied by partial grant of relief by the Sessions Court, then he is expected to challenge that order in the higher forum. Nobody can be heard of saying, he will accept beneficial part of the order and reject onerous part thereof. It is a composite order. Either it should be accepted as a whole or rejected as a whole. In this view of the matter, the application is rejected as not maintainable.

(V.C.DAGA,J.)